

DEVELOPMENT OF ISOHYET MAP USING
INVERSE DISTANCE WEIGHTING AND
ORDINARY KRIGING METHODS FOR
KLANG RIVER BASIN

LEE PIK JUN

B. ENG (HONS.) CIVIL ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

We hereby declare that we have checked this thesis and in our opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the Bachelor Degree of Civil Engineering

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : MR. NORASMAN BIN OTHMAN

Position : LECTURER

Date : JUNE 2018

(Co-supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : MDM. SHAIRUL ROHAZIAWATI BINTI SAMAT

Position : LECTURER

Date : JUNE 2018



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : Lee Pik Jun

ID Number : AA14252

Date : June 2018

DEVELOPMENT OF ISOHYET MAP USING INVERSE DISTANCE WEIGHTING
AND ORDINARY KRIGING METHODS FOR KLANG RIVER BASIN

LEE PIK JUN

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the
Bachelor Degree in Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering and Earth Resources
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JUNE 2018

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to express the deepest grateful to my supervisor Mr. Norasman bin Othman for his continuously support and patience. He always provides valuable ideas and solutions for every problem that I encountered during this study. He also enthusiastic in giving critical comments that helped to improve in my thesis writing. Without his guidance and persistent help, this study would not able to be accomplished. Besides my supervisor, I would also want to extend a special thanks to Mdm. Shairul Rohaziawati binti Samat who is my co-supervisor. I am extremely thankful to her for sharing precious time, expertise, advice and encouragement to me. Her assistances and eagerness lead me to success completed this thesis.

I also wish to express my sincere thanks to all my coursemates for their help and support throughout my degree life. Furthermore, I would like to dedicate this study to my family especially my parents who always believe in me and give constantly support and encouragement to me. They always accompany and help me whenever I have trouble. Lastly, I would like to thank to anyone who directly or indirectly, have lent his or her hand in this thesis.

ABSTRAK

Lembangan Sungai Klang merupakan salah satu lembangan paling penting di negeri Selangor. Ia meliputi Wilayah Persekutuan Kuala Lumpur, Hulu Langat, Gombak, Petaling dan Klang. Lembangan ini mengalami perkembangan ekonomi yang pesat di Malaysia. Oleh itu, pengetahuan mengenai taburan hujan di lembangan ini sangat berguna untuk pengurusan bencana dan pengurusan sumber air. Kaedah interpolasi spatial sering digunakan untuk mencari nilai anggaran parameter meteorologi. Dalam kajian ini, data hujan dibahagikan kepada hujan purat bulanan dan tahunan (sebelum dan selepas 2000). Peta isohyet dihasilkan menggunakan Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW) dan Ordinary Kriging (OK) melalui ArcGIS Geostatistical Analyst. Kaedah-kaedah ini akan dibandingkan dan dinilai menggunakan pengesahan bersilang. Dari analisis ini, Ordinary Kriging (OK) adalah kaedah yang paling bagus untuk lembangan sungai Klang kerana ia mempunyai perbezaan nilai anggaran dan ralat yang kecil dan kecenderungan pusat yang lebih besar. Peta isohyet yang dihasilkan oleh Ordinary Kriging (OK) kemudian akan digunakan untuk menganalisis kesan perubahan iklim di lembangan ini. Melalui tahunan peta isohyet, ia dapat diperhatikan bahawa tahunan hujan purata di lembangan ini telah meningkat selepas ke 20 abad. Kawasan yang paling kering didapati di Klang, Selangor yang terletak di bahagian hilir lembangan. Purata hujan turun kurang berbanding sebelum ini disebabkan oleh pemanasan global. Kawasan ini semakin panas dan menyebabkan kemarau lebih teruk. Sementara itu, kawasan paling basah terletak di Kuala Lumpur. Kuala Lumpur terletak di bahagian tengah tangkapan. Nilai purata hujan semakin meningkat di bandar. Ini disebabkan oleh kesan pulau haba bandar yang mengakibatkan suhu yang lebih tinggi di bandar. Akibatnya, kejadian banjir kilat diramal berlaku selepas dua hingga tiga jam hujan lebat di kawasan ini.

ABSTRACT

Klang river basin is one of the most important basins in Selangor. It covers federal territory of Kuala Lumpur, Hulu Langat, Gombak, Petaling and Klang. This basin experiences the highest economic development in Malaysia. Therefore, appropriate knowledge of rainfall distribution in this basin is very useful for disaster management and water resource management. Spatial interpolation method is a frequent common method to find the estimate values of meteorological parameters. In this study, the rainfall data were classified into monthly and annually average rainfall (before and after 2000) in order to assess the rainfall patterns in the river basin. The isohyet maps were produced using Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW) and Ordinary Kriging (OK) through ArcGIS Geostatistical Analyst. These methods are compared and evaluated using cross validation. From the analysis, Ordinary Kriging (OK) is the most optimum interpolation method over Klang river basin because it has less variation of estimate values, smaller error and greater central tendencies. The isohyet maps generated by Ordinary Kriging then are used to analyze the impact of climate change over this basin. It can be observed that annually average rainfall in this basin had been increased after 20 century. The driest area is found in Klang, Selangor which located at the lowest part of the catchment. The average rainfall had been decreased compare to previous due to global warming effect. The study area becoming hotter and make drought more severe. Meanwhile, the wettest area is located in Kuala Lumpur. Kuala Lumpur is in the middle part of the catchment. The average rainfall had been increased intensely in the city. This is because of the urban heat island effect that results in higher temperature in the city. Consequently, flash flood events may occur after two to three hours of heavy rain in this region.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	
TITLE PAGE	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Objectives	4
1.4 Scope of Study	4
1.5 Significant of Study	5
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Hydrological Cycle	7
2.2.1 Evaporation	8
2.2.2 Evapotranspiration	8

2.2.3	Condensation	8
2.2.4	Infiltration	8
2.3	Precipitation	9
2.4	Types of Precipitation	9
2.4.1	Conventional Precipitation	9
2.4.2	Orographic Precipitation	10
2.4.3	Cyclonic Precipitation	11
2.5	Precipitation Intensity	14
2.6	Significant of Precipitation	14
2.7	Precipitation Measurement	14
2.8	Rain Gauge	15
2.8.1	Conventional Rain Gauge	15
2.8.2	Automatic Rain Gauge	15
2.9	Radar	18
2.10	Disdrometer	19
2.11	Location of Rain Gauges	20
2.11.1	Rain Gauge Network	20
2.12	Climate Change	21
2.13	Wind Flow	25
2.13.1	Northeast Monsoon	26
2.13.2	Southwest Monsoon	26
2.13.3	Inter-Monsoon	27
2.14	Flood	28
2.14.1	Flash Flood	28
2.14.2	Monsoon Flood	29
2.15	Missing of Precipitation Data	30

2.16	Traditional Method for Analysis Missing Data	30
2.16.1	Station Average Method	30
2.16.2	Normal Ratio Method	31
2.16.3	Quadrant Method	31
2.17	Spatial Interpolation Method	31
2.18	Deterministic Method for Analysis Missing Data	32
2.18.1	Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW) Method	32
2.18.2	Global Polynomial Interpolation	33
2.18.3	Local Polynomial Interpolation	34
2.18.4	Comparison of Deterministic Methods	34
2.19	Geostatistical Method for Analysis Missing Data	35
2.19.1	Kriging	35
2.19.2	Cokriging	36
2.19.3	Comparison of Geostatistical Methods	37
2.20	Cross Validation	37
2.20.1	Mean Absolute Error (MAE)	37
2.20.2	Root Mean Square Error (RMSE)	38
2.20.3	Standardized Root Mean Squared Error (SRMSE)	38
2.20.4	Goodness of Prediction Measure (G)	38
2.21	Mean Precipitation over an Area	39
2.21.1	Arithmetic Mean Method	39
2.21.2	Thiessen Polygon Method	39
2.21.3	Isohyetal Method	40
2.22	Isohyetal Map	40
2.23	Related Software in Development of Isohyet Map	41
2.23.1	ArcGIS 10.2	41

2.23.2	FlowWorks	42
2.23.3	Surfer 10	43
2.24	Related Studies about Rainfall Interpolation	43
2.24.1	Spatial Interpolation of Monthly Precipitation in Selangor, Malaysia – Comparison and Evaluation of Methods	44
2.24.2	Extreme Rainfall Analysis on the December 2014 Flood, Pahang	45
2.24.3	Comparison of Spatial Interpolation Methods for Rainfall Data over Sri Lanka	46
2.24.4	Comparison of Spatial Interpolation Methods for Precipitation in Ningxia, China	46
2.24.5	Comparing Rainfall Interpolation Techniques for Small Subtropical Urban Catchments	47
2.24.6	Create a Missing Precipitation Data based on Spatial Interpolation Methods in Not Covered Areas by Region Climate Change Scenario	47
2.24.7	Selection of Optimum Spatial Interpolation Method to Complement an Area Missing Precipitation Data of RCP Climate Change Scenario	48
2.24.8	An Analysis of Climate Change in Peninsular of Malaysia using Remote Sensing Techniques	49
2.24.9	Impact of Climate Change and Its Variability on the Rainfall Pattern in Sarawak River Basin	49
2.24.10	Assessment of the Changes of Climate in Bangladesh Using Geospatial Interpolation of Climatic Variables	50
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		52
3.1	Introduction	52
3.2	Flow Chart of the Study	53
3.3	Study Area	54

3.4	Data Collection	55
3.5	Software	56
3.6	Method	57
3.6.1	Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW) Method	57
3.6.2	Kriging Method	59
3.7	Accuracy Assessment	60
3.8	Climate Change Assessment	61
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		62
4.1	Introduction	62
4.2	Isohyet Map	63
4.2.1	Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW) Method	63
4.2.2	Ordinary Kriging (OK) Method	69
4.3	Accuracy Evaluation	75
4.3.1	Minimum Value	76
4.3.2	Maximum Value	77
4.3.3	Average Value	78
4.3.4	Standard Deviation	79
4.3.5	Mean absolute Error (MAE), Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), and Goodness of Prediction (G)	82
4.3.6	Summary	85
4.4	Climate Assessment	85
4.4.1	January Average Rainfall Before and After 2000	86
4.4.2	February Average Rainfall Before and After 2000	88
4.4.3	March Average Rainfall Before and After 2000	90
4.4.4	April Average Rainfall Before and After 2000	92

4.4.5	May Average Rainfall Before and After 2000	94
4.4.6	June Average Rainfall Before and After 2000	96
4.4.7	July Average Rainfall Before and After 2000	98
4.4.8	August Average Rainfall Before and After 2000	100
4.4.9	September Average Rainfall Before and After 2000	102
4.4.10	October Average Rainfall Before and After 2000	104
4.4.11	November Average Rainfall Before and After 2000	106
4.4.12	December Average Rainfall Before and After 2000	108
4.4.13	Annually Average Rainfall Before and After 2000	110
4.4.14	Driest and Wettest Area	112
4.4.15	Summary	115
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION	117
5.1	Introduction	117
5.2	Conclusion	118
5.3	Recommendation	120
REFERENCES		121
APPENDIX A	MONTHLY AVERAGE RAINFALL BEFORE 2000 (1970 – 1999)	126
APPENDIX B	MONTHLY AVERAGE RAINFALL AFTER 2000 (2000 – 2016)	127
APPENDIX C	ANNUALLY AVERAGE RAINFALL BEFORE 2000 (1970 – 1999)	128
APPENDIX D	ANNUALLY AVERAGE RAINFALL AFTER 2000 (2000 – 2016)	129
APPENDIX E	CROSS VALIDATION IDW MONTHLY BEFORE 2000 (1970 – 1999)	130

APPENDIX F CROSS VALIDATION IDW MONTHLY AFTER 2000 (2000 – 2016)	131
APPENDIX G CROSS VALIDATION IDW ANNUALLY BEFORE AND AFTER 2000	132
APPENDIX H CROSS VALIDATION OK MONTHLY BEFORE 2000 (1970 – 1999)	133
APPENDIX I CROSS VALIDATION OK MONTHLY AFTER 2000 (2000 – 2016)	134
APPENDIX J CROSS VALIDATION OK ANNUALLY BEFORE AND AFTER 2000	135
APPENDIX K MONTHLY AVERAGE RAINFALL DIFFERENCE	136
APPENDIX L ANNUALLY AVERAGE RAINFALL DIFFERENCE	137

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Precipitation intensity	14
Table 2.2	Rain gauge network	20
Table 2.3	Comparison for deterministic methods	34
Table 2.4	Comparison for geostatistical methods	37
Table 4.1	Compare the minimum value from observed rainfall, IDW and OK	76
Table 4.2	Compare the maximum value from observed rainfall, IDW and OK	77
Table 4.3	Compare the average value from observed rainfall, IDW and OK	78
Table 4.4	Compare the standard deviation from observed rainfall, IDW and OK	79
Table 4.5	MAE, RMSE, and G value for IDW and OK	82
Table 4.6	January average rainfall before and after 2000	87
Table 4.7	February average rainfall before and after 2000	89
Table 4.8	March average rainfall before and after 2000	91
Table 4.9	April average rainfall before and after 2000	93
Table 4.10	May average rainfall before and after 2000	95
Table 4.11	June average rainfall before and after 2000	97
Table 4.12	July average rainfall before and after 2000	99
Table 4.13	August average rainfall before and after 2000	101
Table 4.14	September average rainfall before and after 2000	103
Table 4.15	October average rainfall before and after 2000	105
Table 4.16	November average rainfall before and after 2000	107
Table 4.17	December average rainfall before and after 2000	109
Table 4.18	Annual average rainfall before and after 2000	111
Table 4.19	Mean rainfall difference for station P/Kwln P/S Telok Gong	112
Table 4.20	Mean rainfall difference for station Ladang Edinburgh Site 2	113
Table 4.21	Mean rainfall difference for station Pusat Penyelidikan JPS Ampang	113

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Flash flood in Jalan Tuanku Abdul Halim	3
Figure 2.1	Hydrologic cycle	7
Figure 2.2	Conventional precipitation	10
Figure 2.3	Orographic precipitation	11
Figure 2.4	Cyclonic precipitation	11
Figure 2.5	Frontal precipitation	12
Figure 2.6	Non-frontal precipitation	13
Figure 2.7	Warm front	13
Figure 2.8	Conventional rain gauge	15
Figure 2.9	Tipping bucket gauge	16
Figure 2.10	Weighing bucket type rain gauge	17
Figure 2.11	Natural syphon type rain gauge	18
Figure 2.12	Terminal doppler radar at KLIA	19
Figure 2.13	Disdrometer at Everglades National Park	19
Figure 2.14	Global temperature anomaly from 1850 to 2010	21
Figure 2.15	Global precipitation anomalies from 1910 to 2010	22
Figure 2.16	Annual mean temperature trend for 4 meteorological stations in Malaysia	23
Figure 2.17	Standardized annual rainfall anomaly from 1951 to 2005	24
Figure 2.18	Long term mean rainfall	25
Figure 2.19	Northeast monsoon	26
Figure 2.20	Southwest monsoon	27
Figure 2.21	Inter-monsoon	27
Figure 2.22	Flash flood in Klang Valley	29
Figure 2.23	Monsoon flood in Terengganu	30
Figure 2.24	Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW) method	32
Figure 2.25	Relationship between ESRI products	41
Figure 2.26	Isohyetal map	42
Figure 3.1	Flow chart of the study	53
Figure 3.2	Klang Valley river basin	54
Figure 3.3	Analysis process of rainfall data	55
Figure 4.1	Monthly isohyet maps using IDW before 2000 (January – June)	64
Figure 4.2	Monthly isohyet maps using IDW before 2000 (July – December)	65

Figure 4.3	Monthly isohyet maps using IDW after 2000 (January - June)	66
Figure 4.4	Monthly isohyet maps using IDW after 2000 (July – December)	67
Figure 4.5	Annually isohyet maps using IDW before and after 2000	68
Figure 4.6	Monthly isohyet maps using OK before 2000 (January – June)	70
Figure 4.7	Monthly isohyet maps using OK before 2000 (July – December)	71
Figure 4.8	Monthly isohyet maps using OK after 2000 (January – June)	72
Figure 4.9	Monthly isohyet maps using OK after 2000 (July – December)	73
Figure 4.10	Annually isohyet maps using OK before and after 2000	74
Figure 4.11	Minimum, maximum, average and standard deviation performance of IDW and OK methods for monthly rainfall estimation (1970 – 1999)	80
Figure 4.12	Minimum, maximum, average and standard deviation performance of IDW and OK methods for monthly rainfall estimation (2000 - 2016)	80
Figure 4.13	Minimum, maximum, average and standard deviation performance of IDW and OK methods for annually rainfall estimation	81
Figure 4.14	Performance of IDW and OK methods for monthly rainfall estimation (1970 – 1999)	83
Figure 4.15	Performance of IDW and OK methods for monthly rainfall estimation (2000 – 2016)	83
Figure 4.16	Performance of IDW and OK methods for annually rainfall estimation	84
Figure 4.17	Accuracy performance of G between IDW and OK for monthly rainfall estimation	84
Figure 4.18	Accuracy performance of G between IDW and OK for annually rainfall estimation	84
Figure 4.19	January isohyet maps (Before and after 2000)	86
Figure 4.20	Mean January rainfall difference between before and after 2000	87
Figure 4.21	February isohyet maps (Before and after 2000)	88
Figure 4.22	Mean February rainfall difference between before and after 2000	89
Figure 4.23	March isohyet maps (Before and after 2000)	90
Figure 4.24	Mean March rainfall difference between before and after 2000	91
Figure 4.25	April isohyet maps (Before and after 2000)	92
Figure 4.26	Mean April rainfall difference between before and after 2000	93
Figure 4.27	May isohyet maps (Before and after 2000)	94
Figure 4.28	Mean May rainfall difference between before and after 2000	95
Figure 4.29	June isohyet maps (Before and after 2000)	96
Figure 4.30	Mean June rainfall difference between before and after 2000	97

Figure 4.31	July isohyet maps (Before and after 2000)	98
Figure 4.32	Mean July rainfall difference between before and after 2000	99
Figure 4.33	August isohyet maps (Before and after 2000)	100
Figure 4.34	Mean August rainfall difference between before and after 2000	101
Figure 4.35	September isohyet maps (Before and after 2000)	102
Figure 4.36	Mean September rainfall difference between before and after 2000	103
Figure 4.37	October isohyet maps (Before and after 2000)	104
Figure 4.38	Mean October rainfall difference between before and after 2000	105
Figure 4.39	November isohyet maps (Before and after 2000)	106
Figure 4.40	Mean November rainfall difference between before and after 2000	107
Figure 4.41	December isohyet maps (Before and after 2000)	108
Figure 4.42	Mean December rainfall difference between before and after 2000	109
Figure 4.43	Annually isohyet maps before and after 2000	110
Figure 4.44	Mean annually rainfall difference between before and after 2000	111
Figure 4.45	Mean monthly rainfall difference between before and after 2000 for selected stations	114
Figure 4.46	Mean annually rainfall difference between before and after 2000 for selected stations	114

LIST OF SYMBOLS

$^{\circ}\text{C}$	Celsius
Km^2	Kilometre Square
mm	Millimetre
x	Station
P_x	Missing Annual Precipitation
m	Missing Station
N_x	Normal Annual Precipitation
P_m	Annual Precipitation Value
n	Number of Stations
i	Index Station
n	Number of Index Station
$\hat{Z}(s_0)$	Prediction Value for Location s_0
$\hat{Z}(s_i)$	Observed Value for Location s_i
N	Total Measured Sample Point Numbers
λ_i	Weight
d_{i0}	Distance Between Prediction and Measured Location
n	Number of Rain Events
Z_i	Observed Value from Position i
\hat{Z}_i	Predicted Value from Position i
\bar{Z}	Mean Observed Rainfall
A	Area
\bar{P}	Average Precipitation

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

MMD	Malaysian Meteorological Department
DID	Department of Irrigation and Drainage
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
GIS	Geographical Information System
DJF	December January February
JJA	June July August
MAM	March April May
SON	September October November
IDW	Inverse Distance Weighting
OK	Ordinary Kriging
UK	Universal Kriging
S	Spline
TR	Topo to Raster
RHI	Range Height Indicator
WMO	World Meteorological Department
MAE	Mean Absolute Error
RMSE	Root Mean Square Error
SRMSE	Standardized Root Mean Squared Error
G	Goodness of Prediction Measure
ESRI	Environmental Systems Research Institute
LP	Local Polynomial
GP	Global Polynomial
TRMM	Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission
PBIAS	Percent of Bias

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

Climate of Malaysia is considered as hot and humid throughout the year due to it located near to the equatorial doldrum area. The average rainfall for Malaysia is around 2500 millimetres a year and its average temperature is 27°C (Mohamed *et al.*, 2014). According to Malaysian Meteorological Department (MMD), consistent periodic changes in the wind flow patterns have distinguished Malaysia climate into four monsoons namely northeast monsoon, southwest monsoon and two shorter periods inter-monsoon seasons. Northeast monsoon started from November until March whereas southwest monsoon usually commences in May or June but ended in September. Inter-monsoon began in March to May and October to mid-November (Fakaruddin *et al.*, 2015).

Climate change has become the hottest debate issue around the world. Malaysia is situated between Pacific Ocean and Indian Ocean. Its climate is influenced by variation of the natural climate associated with both oceans. The knowledge of how natural climate variability to a particular region is significant. This is to understand assess and impact of climate change to a particular region (Fredolin *et al.*, 2012). Flooding is one of the impacts of climate change that can lead a tremendous impact to the financial, environmental or human losses. Department of Irrigation and Drainage (DID) has classified flood in Malaysia into two categorizes which are monsoon flood and flash flood. Recently, Malaysia has encountered several extreme weather events due to the change of climate. These changes can bring serious effects on economic, social, physical or ecological (Hashib *et al.*, 2011). The worst flood event occurred in Malaysia was in the 2014 where more than 200,000 people have been affected and 21 people were killed due to the flood event (Akasah, 2015). Thus, it is critical to

understand the characteristic of rainfall in order to presume the effect of rainfall in the evapotranspiration, infiltration and runoff process (Knight *et al.*, 2005).

Klang river basin encompasses some districts in Selangor and also includes federal territory of Kuala Lumpur. This basin has the densest population and is situated in the most developed region in Malaysia. According to Sidek (2016), it is likely to experience the highest economic development in the country with the prediction over 3.6 million of population and with an approximately 5% growth rate per year. Flash flood is the most common geohazard in Kuala Lumpur. After two or three hours of heavy rain, the water level of river in the Kuala Lumpur region could reach its peak discharge. It is a result of unplanned rapid development and major changes of land use at Kuala Lumpur have narrowed certain stretches of the river. It is assumed that during monsoon season 5700 hectares of populated area on the Klang river and its tributaries will be flooded (Gert de Roo, 2004).

The important element in hydrological cycle is precipitation. It controlled water disasters and water supplies (Taesombat and Sriwongsitanon, 2009). Rainfall data is needed by the hydrologist in hydrological modelling process and to estimate terrific precipitation events caused by the global climate change like droughts and floods (Wagner *et al.*, 2012; Jamaludin and Suhaimi, 2013). This is because acquired precise rainfall data is crucial in order to predict the spatial behaviour of the rainfall intensity and its pattern. Due to the topographic variability, rainfall will never distribute evenly through the catchment areas. However, it is impossible to install many rainfall station gauges in the area of study (Jamaludin and Suhaimi, 2013; Taesombat and Sriwongsitanon, 2009). Good interpolation method is required to find the missing values of meteorological parameter (Wijemannage *et al.*, 2016). Several spatial interpolation methods have been introduced in order to estimate the rainfall data in the unsampled locations (Sarann *et al.*, 1997). Estimated actual rainfall over the basin is very useful for prediction of the possibility of flood event happen due to climate change so that flood mitigation and prevention can be carried out as soon as possible (Hao and Chang, 2013; Wijemannage *et al.*, 2016). The rainfall information also helps engineer to design suitable drainage system in the area of study.

1.2 Problem Statement

Climate change has been arisen as one of the major global challenges for whole world. According to The Star Online on 11 June 2017, the temperature different between the region in Kuala Lumpur and its neighbouring rural areas is about 10°C. The hotter temperature caused heavy rainfall happened in urban area that lead to several flash floods whereas less rainfall in suburban area. The effect of urban heat island in the city is due to the rapid development. Flash flood always occurs in Klang Valley after heavy rain. It is the result of rapid urbanisation in the river catchment area which deteriorated the capacity of river. As a result of heavy development, large concentration of runoff flow into the river which exceed the capacity of the river. For instance, flash flood happened in Jalan Bangsar, Jalan Pantai Baharu, Jalan Pudu and Jalan Semantan had caused more than 100 vehicles trapped in Klang Valley on 12 May 2016.



Figure 1.1 Flash flood in Jalan Tuanku Abdul Halim
Source: The Star Online (2016)

Accurate and effective rainfall data is vital for hydrologist with regard to create a reliable isohyet map that describes the spatial behaviour of rainfall intensity and its pattern. The maps are developed via Geographical Information System (GIS) software with precise data of annual rainfall and seasonal rainfall. Acquired precise isohyet map can help to detect the location of floodplain. It would aid Malaysian Meteorological Department (MMD) to made early preparation for flood disaster planning such as issues early warning to the local residents. It also assisted hydrologist in hydrological analysis and water resources management. Several spatial interpolation methods are used to

REFERENCES

- Akrami, S.A., El-Shafie, A. and Jaafar, O. 2013. Improving rainfall forecasting efficiency using Modified Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System (MANFIS). *Water Resources Management*. 27(9): 3507–3523.
- Akasah, S.V.D. 2015. 2014 Malaysia flood: Impacts & Factors Contributing Towards The Restoration Of Damages. *Journal of Scientific Research and Development* 2. 2(14): 53 –59.
- Ashfaq, M., Shi, Y., Tung, W., Trapp, R.J., Gao, X., Pal, J.S. and Diffenbaugh, N.S. 2009. Suppression of south Asian summer monsoon precipitation in the 21st century. *Geophysical Research Letters*. 36(1): L01704.
- Azhar, M., Alias, B. and Alias, E.B. 2016. Extreme rainfall analysis on the December 2014 Flood, Pahang. Bc. Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Badron, K., Ismail, A.F., Asnawi, A., Nordin, M.A.W., Zahirul Alam, A.H.M. and Khan, S. 2015. Classification of precipitation types detected in Malaysia. *Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering*. 344(8): 13–21.
- Billa, L., Mansor, S. and Mahmud, A. 2004. Spatial information technology in flood early warning systems: An overview of theory, application and latest developments in Malaysia. *Disaster Prevention and Management: An International Journal*. 13(5), 356–363.
- Bong, C., Joo, H., Yew, T.S., Bustami, R.A. and Putuhena, F.J. 2009. Impact of Climate Change and Its Variability on the Rainfall Pattern in Sarawak River Basin. *International Conference on Water Resources*. 26–27.
- Brohan, P., Kennedy, J.J., Harris, I., Tett, S.F.B. and Jones, P.D. 2006. Uncertainty estimates in regional and global observed temperature changes: A new data set from 1850. *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres*.
- Caldera, H.P.G.M., Piyathisse, V.R.P.C. and Nandalal, K.D.W. 2016. A comparison of methods of estimating missing daily rainfall data. *XLIX(04)*: 1–8.
- Chen, T., Ren, L., Yuan, F., Yang, X., Jiang, S., Tang, T., Liu, Y., Zhao, C. and Zhang, L. 2017. Comparison of Spatial Interpolation Schemes for Rainfall Data and Application in Hydrological Modeling. *Water*. 9(5): 342.

- Pregun Csaba, D.J.C. 2011. Hydrology (online). https://www.tankonyvtar.hu/en/tartalom/tamop425/0032_hidrologia/ch08s02.html (19 November 2017).
- The Guardian. 2011. How will climate change affect rainfall (online). <https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2011/dec/15/climate-change-rainfall> (22 November 2017).
- Hashib, R., Latif Ibrahim, A., Rahman, M. and Professor, A. 2011. An Analysis Of Climate Change In Peninsular Of Malaysia Using Remote Sensing Techniques. The 32nd Asian Conference on Remote Sensing. Taipei, Taiwan: 3-7 October.
- Tangang, Juneng, Salimun, Kwan, L.J.L.& H.M. 2012. Climate change and variability over Malaysia: Gaps in science and research information. 41(11), 1355–1366.
- Roo. 2004. Integrating City Planning and Environmental Improvement: Practicable Strategies for Sustainable Urban Development. Journal of Urban Affairs. 30(5): 579–590.
- Golden Software. 2011. Surfer 10 Quick Start Guide. USA: Golden Software.
- Goyal, M.K. 2016. Engineering Technology. India: Prentice-hall.
- Gruver, J.A.D. 2017. Kriging (online). <https://www.e-education.psu.edu/geog486/print/book/export/html/1878> (21 November 2017).
- Hao, W. and Chang, X. 2013. Comparison of Spatial Interpolation Methods for Precipitation in Ningxia, China. International Journal of Science and Research. 2(8): 2319–7064.
- Hua, A.K. 2014. Monsoon Flood Disaster in Kota Bharu, Kelantan Case Study: A Comprehensive Review. International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Research (IJSER) ISSN (Online Impact Factor. 3(9): 2347–3878.
- IPCC. 2007. Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Cambridge: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
- Kuok, C.P.C. 2013. Isohyetal Map Development Using Three-Dimensional Drafting Software: Case Study of the Sarawak Region. [http://dspace.unimap.edu.my/dspace/bitstream/123456789/29985/1/Isohyetal Map Development using three-dimensional drafting software case syudy of the Sarawak region.html](http://dspace.unimap.edu.my/dspace/bitstream/123456789/29985/1/Isohyetal%20Map%20Development%20using%20three-dimensional%20drafting%20software%20case%20syudy%20of%20the%20Sarawak%20region.html) (21 November 2017).
- Jamaludin, S. and Suhaimi, H. 2013. Spatial interpolation on rainfall data over peninsular

- Malaysia using ordinary kriging. *Jurnal Teknologi*. 63(2): 51–58.
- Jang, D., Park, H. and Choi, J. 2015. Create a missing precipitation data based on spatial interpolation methods in not covered areas by region climate change scenario. *Advanced Science and Technology Letters*. 99(ITCS 2015): 109 - 112.
- Jang, D., Park, H. and Choi, J. 2015. Selection of Optimum Spatial Interpolation Method to Complement an Area Missing Precipitation Data of RCP Climate Change Scenario. *International Journal of Software Engineering and Its Applications*. 9(8): 179–188.
- Johnston, K., Ver Hoef, J.M., Krivoruchko, K. and Lucas, N. 2013. Using ArcGIS Geostatistical Analyst. USA: Esri.
- Knight, Y., Yu, B., Jenkins, G. and Morris, K. 2005. Comparing rainfall interpolation techniques for small subtropical urban catchments. MODSIM05. Melbourne: 2015.
- Patil, P. and Tandon, A. 2017. Study of Measurement of precipitation over an area. *IJSRD - International Journal for Scientific Research & Development*. 5(03): 613– 2321
- Loo, Y.Y., Billa, L. and Singh, A. 2015. Effect of climate change on seasonal monsoon in Asia and its impact on the variability of monsoon rainfall in Southeast Asia. *Geoscience Frontiers*. 6: 817–823.
- Malaysian Meteorological Department. 2009. Climate Change Scenarios for Malaysia 2001 - 2099.
- Malaysian Meteorological Department. 2017. Malaysia's Climate.
- Manning, J.C. 1997. Applied principles of hydrology. India: Prentice Hall.
- Mohamed, N.H., Ismail, A., Ismail, Z., Wan, C., Salleh, M., Adnan, W., Faizal, M. and Raji, A. 2014. Trend analysis and forecasting of rainfall and floods in the Klang Valley. *Deference S and T Technical Bulletin*. 7(2): 112-120.
- Taib, Z., Jaharuddin, N. and Dato, Z. 2016. A Review of Flood Disaster And Disaster Management In Malaysia. *International Journal of Accounting & Business Management*. 4(2): 98 -106.

- Nadiah, N., Firdaus, M. and Talib, S.A. 2014. Spatial interpolation of monthly precipitation in Selangor, Malaysia – Comparison And Evaluation Of Methods. *Semantic Scholar*. 1: 346–357.
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. 2012 . Arctic Oscillation (AO).
- Raghunath, H.M. 2006. *Hydrology: principles, analysis and design*. India: New Age International Ltd.
- Reddy, P.J.R. 2006. *A text book of hydrology*. India: Laxmi Publications.
- Sadeghi, S.H., Nouri, H. and Faramarzi, M. 2017. Assessing the Spatial Distribution of Rainfall and the Effect of Altitude in Iran (Hamadan Province). *Air, Soil and Water Research*. 10: 1-7.
- Saha, D. and Islam, A.K.M.S. 2016. Assessment of The Changes of Climate In Bangladesh Using Geo - spatial Interpolation of Climatic Variables. *International conference on Climate Change in relation to Water and Environment*. Gazipur: 2015.
- Sarann Ly, C.C.& A.D. 1997. Different methods for spatial interpolation of rainfall data for operational hydrology and hydrological modeling at watershed scale: a review. *Presses Argonomiques de Gembloux*. 12(2): 392 - 406.
- Selase, A.E., Eunice, D., Agyimpomaa, E., Selasi, D.D., Melody, D. and Hakii, N. 2015. Precipitation and Rainfall Types with Their Characteristic Features. *Journal of Natural Sciences Research*. 5(20): 2225–921.
- Sharad K. Jain, V.P.S. 2005. *Water Encyclopedia*. USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Sterling, D.L., Campbell Jr, J.B. and Laurence, C.W. 2003. A Comparison of Spatial Interpolation Techniques For Determining Shoaling Rates of The Atlantic Ocean Channel. Ph.D. Thesis. Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, USA.
- Subramanya, K.. 2013. *Engineering hydrology*. India: McGraw Hill Publishing Company Limited.
- Oraevskiy. 2016. Rainfall Isohyetal Mapping Tool (online). <https://support.flowworks.com/hc/en-us/articles/115000002506-Rainfall-Isohyetal-Mapping-Tool.html> (22 November 2017).

- Taesombat, W. and Sriwongsitanon, N. 2009. Areal rainfall estimation using spatial interpolation techniques. *ScienceAsia*. 35:268–275.
- Tahir, W., Bakar, S.H., Wahid, M.A., Nasir, S.R. and Lee, W.K. 2015. ISFRAM 2015 : Proceedings of the International Symposium on Flood Research and Management 2015, pp. 17-29.
- Tapiador, F.J., Turk, F.J., Petersen, W., Hou, A.Y., García-Ortega, E., Machado, L.A.T., Angelis, C.F., Salio, P., Kidd, C., Huffman, G.J. and de Castro, M.. 2012. Global precipitation measurement: Methods, datasets and applications. *Atmospheric Research*. 104–105: 70–97.
- Wagner, P.D., Fiener, P., Wilken, F., Kumar, S. and Schneider, K. 2012. Comparison and evaluation of spatial interpolation schemes for daily rainfall in data scarce regions. *Journal of Hydrology*. 464–465: 388–400.
- Wijemannage, A.L.K., Ranagalage, M. and Perera, E.N.C. 2016. Comparison of Spatial Interpolation Methods For Rainfall Data Over Sri Lanka (online). <http://www.a-a-r-s.org/acrs/index.php/acrs/acrs-overview/proceedings1?view=publication&task=show&id=2335.html> (22 November 2017).